PATENT Attorney Docket No. 450100-3922.2

U.S. Appin. No. 10/081,615 Reply to Office Action dated March 4, 2009

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the application are respectfully

requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith, which place the application into

condition for allowance. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the

application.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS

Claims 25-42 are currently pending. Claims 41 and 42 are withdrawn without

prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. Claims 1-24 have been canceled without prejudice or

disclaimer of subject matter. Claims 25, 30, 35, and 36, which are independent, are amended.

Support for this amendment is provided throughout the specification, specifically at pages 14, 21,

and 22.

No new matter has been introduced by this amendment. Changes to the claims

are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103,

or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of

protection to which Applicant is entitled.

II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 25, 27-30, and 32-36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly

being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,552,833 to Henmi, et al. (hereinafter, merely

"Henmi") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,204,662 to Oda et al. (hereinafter, merely "Oda").

Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 212-588-0800

Customer No. 20999

Page 11 of 14

00653634

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 450100-3922.2

U.S. Appln. No. 10/081,615 Reply to Office Action dated March 4, 2009

Claims 26 and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Henmi and in view of Oda and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,914,712 to Sartain, et al. (hereinafter, merely "Sartain").

Claims 37-40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Henmi and in view of Oda and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,787,259 to Haroun, et al. (hereinafter, merely "Haroun").

## III. RESPONSE TO REJECTIONS

Claim 25 recites, inter alia:

"...wherein the codes are downloaded from a server via internet after the recording module is registered,

...wherein the text portion has a different format distinguishing the text portion from other portion of the particular format file and includes an icon representing the recording module." (emphasis added)

Applicant respectfully submits that Henmi and Oda, taken either alone or in combination, fail to teach or disclose the above-identified features of claim 25. Specifically, nothing is found that teaches or discloses wherein the codes are downloaded from a server via internet after the recording module is registered, and wherein the text portion has a different format distinguishing the text portion from other portion of the particular format file and includes an icon representing the recording module, as recited in claim 25.

Applicant respectfully submit that none of the references discloses or suggests downloading codes from a server and using a different format for a text portion corresponding to

Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 212-588-0800 Customer No. 20999

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 450100-3922.2

U.S. Appln. No. 10/081,615 Reply to Office Action dated March 4, 2009

the commands. Therefore, for at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that

claim 25 is patentable.

Claims 30, 35, and 36, which are similar in scope to claim 25, are also patentable

for similar reasons.

IV. DEPENDENT CLAIMS

The other claims in this application are each dependent from one of the

independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed patentable for at least the same

reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the

invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits

is respectfully requested.

Similarly, because Applicant maintains that all claims are allowable for at least

the reasons presented hereinabove, in the interests of brevity, this response does not comment on

each and every comment made by the Examiner in the Office Action. This should not be taken

as acquiescence of the substance of those comments, and Applicant reserves the right to address

such comments.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the

claims in this application are patentable and Applicant respectfully requests early passage to

issue of the present application.

Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151

212-588-0800 Customer No. 20999

Page 13 of 14

00653634

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP Attorneys for Applicant

Thomas F. Presson Reg. No. 41,442

(212) 588-0800